13357 2 Record (* 1127)

PROFESSOR EMERY'S SUBGENERA OF THE GENUS CAMPONOTUS MAYR.

BY WILLIAM MORTON WHEELER.

[Reprinted from PSYCHE, Vol. XXVIII, No. 1]

PROFESSOR EMERY'S SUBGENERA OF THE GENUS CAMPONOTUS MAYR.

BY WILLIAM MORTON WHEELER:

[Reprinted from PSYCHE, Vol. XXVIII, No. 1]

PROFESSOR EMERY'S SUBGENERA OF THE GENUS CAMPONOTUS MAYR.

BY WILLIAM MORTON WHEELER. Bussey Institution, Harvard University.

The great cosmopolitan ant-genus Camponotus, now comprising fully 500 species and as many subspecies and varieties, has become so unwieldy that subdivision has become imperative. As long ago as 1896 Emery¹ made a serious attempt to render it more manageable by dividing it into three cohorts (Arcuati, Capitati and Angulosi) and numerous maniples based for the most part on geographical groups of species. Forel finally grasped the nettle in 19122. and established 16 subgenera in addition to Colobopsis Mayr. which had long been accorded subgeneric rank. Although he cited species under each subgenus he failed to designate any subgeno-I undertook to supply this omission in 1913.3 In 1914 he issued a more extensive account of his subgenera,4 increased their number to 24, and appended an extensive list of the known In this paper he cited a type for each subgenus but paid no attention to my designations. It happened, however, that in all but eight of the subgenera we had selected the same species. Now Emery⁵ has issued a most painstaking study of the genus and has increased the number of subgenera to 34, excluding the monotypic Phasmomyrmex Stitz, which he has elevated to generic rank. He has also established a new genus, Notostigma, for three Australian species (carazzii, foreli and podenzanai), two of which were formerly included by Forel in his subgenus Myrmosphineta. The outlines of the various subgenera have been more accurately defined, much use has been made of the geographical distribution of the species, and the characters of the male Camponoti, which

¹ Saggio di un Catalogo Sistematico dei Generi Camponotus, Polyrhachis e Affini. Mem. R. Accad. Sc. Ist. Bologna (5) 5, 1896, pp. 761-780.

² Formicides Néotropiques Part VI, Mém. Soc. Ent. Belg. 20, 1912, pp. 59-92. ³ Corrections and Additions to "List of Type Species of the Genera and Subgenera of Formicidae," Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sc. 23, 1913, pp. 77-83.

⁴ Le Genre Camponotus Mayr et les Genres Voisins. Rev. Suisse Zool. 22, 1914, pp. 257-276.

⁵ Le Genre "Camponotus" Mayr, Nouvel Essai de sa Subdivision en Sous-Genres. Rev. Zool. Africaine 8, 1920, pp. 229-260, 1 fig.

no one had seen fit to study heretofore, have been scrutinized. In Emery's classification only five of the subgenera are represented in both hemispheres, whereas 11 include only New World, and 19 only Old World species. Unfortunately, a certain amount of confusion has been introduced by Emery's overlooking my designations of the types of Forel's subgenera. It becomes necessary, therefore, to discuss very briefly the subgeneric names that are affected by this oversight. These are listed in the following paragraphs, together with the cases in which Forel's types are invalidated by my previous designations:

Subgenus Camponotus Mayr. When Mayr established the genus Camponotus in 1861, he designated no type but placed Formica ligniperda Latr., first on his list of species, just as he had placed it first in the genus Formica in his work on the Austrian auts (1855). Bingham, perhaps for that reason, selected ligniperda as the type of Camponotus in 1903, ignoring the fact that Forel and Emery had long regarded this ant as a mere sub-species of herculeanus L., which they therefore cite as the genotype. I am not aware that our codes make any provision for such cases.

Subgenus Myrmothrix Forel. I designated Formica abdominalis Fabr. as the type (1913), but Forel chose F. rufipes Fabr. (1914). Both are retained in the subgenus as accepted by Emery.

Subgenus Myrmolophus Emery. Emery has split this subgenus off of Forel's Myrmopomis and based it on the Neotropical Formica sericeiventris Guérin, leaving the remainder of the species, which are African and Malagasy, in Myrmopomis. I had designated sericeiventris as the type of Myrmopomis in 1913, and Forel had cited the Ethiopian F. fulvopilosus DeGeer as the type in 1914. As the latter designation is invalid, Myrmolophus becomes a synonym of Myrmopomis, and it is necessary to replace Emery's name for the Old World species. I propose the name Myrmopiromis nom, nov.

Subgenus Myrmotarsus Forel. I designated Formica mistura F. Smith as the type of this subgenus, whereas Forel selected F. irritabilis F. Smith. Both are included in the group as emended by Emery. He includes also F. quadrisectus F. Smith, which was cited by Forel as the type of Myrmophyma. Since I had previously designated Camponotus capito Mayr as the type of the latter genus,

and Forel's designation is invalid, there can be no objection to Emery's procedure.

Subgenus Myrmosphincta Forel. I designated the Neotropical Formica sexguttata Fabr. as the subgenotype, Forel the Malayan F. cinerascens Fabr. Emery has now transferred sexguttata to his subgenus Myrmotemnus and has retained the name Myrmosphincta for the Malayan, Australian and Malagasy species. It is clear that a new name is required for Myrmosphincta Emery (1920). I propose Myrmosaulus nom. nov.

Subgenus Myrmophyma Forel. As already stated, I designated Camponotus capito as the type, but Forel selected quadrisectus. Emery also designates capito as the type. Forel's Myrmocamelus becomes a synonym of Myrmophyma, because he selected as its type Formica ephippium F. Smith, which is merely one of a number of Australian species closely related to capito. Thus owing to my prior designation of the type of Myrmophyma it is unnecessary for Emery to violate the code of nomenclature (1912), according to which genotypes are stable and cannot be changed.

Subgenus *Myrmosaga* Forel. Here, too, there is a discrepancy in the types selected, as I had designated *Camponotus kelleri* Forel and Forel had selected *C. quadrimaculatus* Forel. Both are included in the subgenus as emended by Emery.

Subgenus Myrmentoma Forel. This subgenus, established by Forel in 1912, was in 1914 regarded by him as a synonym of Ashmead's Orthonotomyrmex (1906). I had designated Formica lateralis Olivier as the type of Myrmentoma in 1913, and Forel had designated the same type for Orthonotomyrmex in 1914, overlooking the fact that Ashmead had designated Formica sericea Fabr. Emery has resuscitated Myrmentoma and defined it and Orthonotomyrmex more precisely.

Subgenus Myrmepomis Forel. See Myrmolophus, above.

Subgenus Myrmacantha Emery. This is a synonym of Myrmorhachis, for in 1913 I designated as the sub-genotype of the latter the Ethiopian Camponotus polyrhachioides Forel, which is closely related to C. aberrans Mayr designated as the type. Forel in 1914 selected the Neotropical Camponotus latangulus Roger as the type of Myrmorhachis. Since Emery restricts the latter name to the American forms it becomes necessary to replace it by a new term,

I propose Myrmocladœcus nom nov., since all or nearly all the species live in hollow twigs.

Subgenus Myrmamblys Forel. Here, too, difficulties arise owing to the fact that Emery has restricted the name to American species, I designated an East Indian species, Camponotus reticulatus Roger as the type (1913), but Forel selected a Neotropical form, C. fastigatus Roger. As Emery has placed reticulatus in Myrmotemnus, the latter would seem to be a synonym of Myrmamblys Forel (1912 and 1913), and the selection of a new name for Myrmamblys Emery (1920) is made necessary. For this I propose Neomyrmamblys nom. nov. I have already explained why the small group of American species including sexguttatus must be retained as Myrmosphincta Forel (vide supra).

Subgenus Myrmorhachis Forel. Discussed above in connection with Myrmacantha Emery.

Subgenus Myrmeurynota Forel. I designated Camponotus eurynotus Forel as the type of this subgenus but Forel cites C. gilviventris Roger. Both are included in Emery's list of species.

Subgenus Manniella subgen. nov. I propose this name for the small group comprising the Cuban sphaericus Roger (subgenotype) and its subspecies sphaeralis Roger. Mann has recently discovered and described the maxima worker of these forms. Both Forel and Emery include them in Myrmeurynota, whereas Mann assigns them to Colobopsis. The structure of the head, pronotum, etc., of the large worker is so aberrant that they cannot be included in these subgenera, nor in Emery's Hypercolobopsis, Pseudocolobopsis nor Paracolobopsis.

The changes suggested above increase the number of subgenera of Camponotus to 36.

⁶ Additions to the Ant Fauna of the West Indies and Central America. Bull. Amer. Mus. Nat. Hist. 42, 1920, pp. 403-439, 10 figs.